

## The Third Epistle of JOHN

### *Salutation*

<sup>1</sup> The elder, to the beloved Gaius, whom I love in truth.

<sup>2</sup> Dear one, I pray for you to prosper in every way and to be healthy, just as your soul prospers.\* <sup>3</sup> Because it gave me great joy when some brothers came and testified to the truth about you, how you are walking in Truth.† <sup>4</sup> I have no greater joy than to hear that my children are walking in Truth.

### *Gaius is commended*

<sup>5</sup> Dear one, you are faithful in whatever you do for the brothers, and for the strangers <sup>6</sup> who testified to your love before the church—you will do well by sending them on their way in a manner worthy of God, <sup>7</sup> because it was for the

---

\* **1:2** This prayer challenges me. Do I really want my physical health to be a reflection of my spiritual health? † **1:3** There is no article with “truth” here (or in verse 4). To love someone in truth means to truly love, presumably, but to walk in truth does not mean to truly walk; a different meaning is required—the principal candidates would be God's Word and the Holy Spirit.

sake of the Name<sup>‡</sup> that they went out, accepting nothing from pagans. § 8 We therefore ought to welcome such, so that we may work together for the Truth.\*

### *Diotrephes is criticized*

<sup>9</sup> I wrote to the congregation, but Diotrephes, who wants to dominate the others, does not acknowledge us. † <sup>10</sup> So if I come, I will bring up what he is doing, slandering us with malignant words. And not satisfied with that, not only does he himself not receive the brothers, but he

---

‡ **1:7** Users of the AV and NKJV are accustomed to ‘His name’, which is based on some 15% of the Greek manuscripts. I take it that the 85%, including the best line of transmission, are correct in reading “the Name”. Both readings refer to the Lord Jesus Christ, presumably, “the name which is above every name” (Philippians 2:9), and at which every knee shall bow. § **1:7** The word rendered “pagans” is often translated ‘nations’ or ‘Gentiles’, but in this context the reference is not to nations, nor to non-Jews. The reference is probably to non-Christians. But what of the principle involved here? It seems clear that John is in agreement with the decision not to accept financial support from unbelievers. Would this be because of possible ‘strings’ attached, or is something going on in the spiritual realm? But really, who wants to tell a donor that he is a sinner? \* **1:8** John is talking about giving hospitality, a place to stay and food to eat, but also about giving a good send off. † **1:9** I believe this is the main reason for this letter—since Diotrephes censored the letter that John wrote to the congregation, he now writes to an individual, to get around the censorship. Unfortunately, Diotrephes-types are still with us, and not even unusual.

forbids those who want to do so, kicking them out of the congregation.‡

*Demetrius is commended*

<sup>11</sup> Dear one, do not imitate what is bad, but what is good. He who does good is of God, but he who does evil has not seen God. § <sup>12</sup> Demetrius is well spoken of by everyone—even by the truth itself. Yes, we also speak well of him, and we know\* that our testimony is true.

*Farewell*

<sup>13</sup> I had many things to write, but I do not wish to write to you with pen and ink; <sup>14</sup> rather I hope to see you soon, and we will talk face to face.

Peace to you. The friends *here* greet you. Greet the friends *there* by name.

---

‡ **1:10** One wonders where he got the power or authority to be able to do this. Evidently people were letting him get away with it. § **1:11** John is good at making flat statements, which most of us find to be uncomfortable, because we would like to think there are exceptions. Don't unbelievers do good things? If a pastor does something bad, does that mean he doesn't know God? Just as all truth is from God and all lies are from Satan, all genuine good is from God and all evil is from Satan. I imagine that John would insist that anyone who has really seen God will not do evil. \* **1:12** I follow the best line of transmission here, using the first person, albeit representing only 23% of the manuscripts. We expect the second person (61.5%; there are two further variants), and it would be almost unthinking for copyists to make the change, but on reflection the first person makes good sense. Indeed, in John 21:24 the same author uses 'we know' with reference to his testimony.

**The New Testament with Commentary**  
**The New Testament with Commentary according to**  
**Family 35, 2nd Edition**

copyright © 2016 Wilbur N. Pickering, ThM, PhD

Language: English

Dialect: American

This translation is made available to you under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Share-Alike license 4.0.

You have permission to share and redistribute this Bible translation in any format and to make reasonable revisions and adaptations of this translation, provided that:

You include the above copyright and source information.

If you make any changes to the text, you must indicate that you did so in a way that makes it clear that the original licensor is not necessarily endorsing your changes.

If you redistribute this text, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.

Pictures included with Scriptures and other documents on this site are licensed just for use with those Scriptures and documents. For other uses, please contact the respective copyright owners.

Note that in addition to the rules above, revising and adapting God's Word involves a great responsibility to be true to God's Word. See Revelation 22:18-19.

2024-02-21

---

PDF generated using Haiola and XeLaTeX on 22 Feb 2024 from source files dated 21 Feb 2024

5c0d7161-38d6-567d-bf84-246b4260b456