

PREFACE

Once upon a time I was led to believe that Hermann von Soden's* work was basically reliable. This was important because his work underlies both the Hodges Farstad and Robinson-Pierpont editions of the Majority (Byzantine) Text. However, the *Text und Textwert (TuT)*† collations demonstrate objectively that not infrequently Soden is seriously off the mark. Maurice Robinson's collations of the *Pericope Adulterae*‡ demonstrate objectively that Soden is very seriously wrong there. With reference to Soden's treatment of codex 223 K.W. Clark stated, "Furthermore, our collation has revealed sixty-two errors in 229 readings treated by von Soden."§ 27% in error (62÷229) is altogether too much, and what is true of MS 223 may be true of other MSS as well. Hoskier was not entirely mistaken in his evaluation. For myself, I had to reconsider the evidence for the whole New Testament, and that exercise led me to the conclusion that the

* : *Die Schriften des Neuen Testaments in ihrer ältesten erreichbaren Textgestalt* (Göttingen: Vanderhoeck und Ruprecht, 4 vols., 1911-1913). † : *Text und Textwert der Griechischen Handschriften des Neuen Testaments* (Ed. Kurt Aland, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter). The series contains over a dozen volumes, covering the entire New Testament except for the second half of John. ‡ : So far as I know, this material has not yet been published; Robinson gave me the opportunity of working with a private copy of his collation pages. § : *Eight American Praxapostoloi* (Kenneth W. Clark, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1941, p. 12).

most important segment of the relevant evidence had been overlooked (more precisely, it had been despised, and therefore ignored).

Herewith a new Greek Text, and critical apparatus, for the entire New Testament, based on that important segment. I call that segment Family 35, because cursive 35 is the complete New Testament, faithful to the family archetype, with the smallest number. (Cursive 18, also a complete NT, defects from the family in Revelation.) For a thorough explanation of why I prefer Family 35,* please see my book, *God Has Preserved His Text!* (3rd edition).† I there argue that God has preserved the precise original wording of the NT, and that we can, and do, know what it is, based on an empirical procedure. I claim to have demonstrated the superiority of Family 35 based on *size, independence, age, geographical distribution, profile, care, and range*. I challenge any and all to do the same for any other line of transmission.

In the statements of evidence I have included the percentage of manuscript attestation for each variant within either () or [].‡ I have used () for the evidence taken from *TuT*, which I take to be reasonably precise. For the variant sets

* : As explained elsewhere, I now use **f**³⁵ instead of **K**^F. I further insist that **f**³⁵ should be factored out of the broad Byzantine tradition and be presented separately, because I now believe that **f**³⁵ is earlier than **K**^X, etc., being the base from which the others departed. † : The book is available from Amazon.com. A free PDF download is available at www.prunch.org. ‡ : So far as I know, mine is the first apparatus to offer this information.

that are not covered there I used a variety of sources, which vary from book to book (please see the final footnote for each book for that information)—the percentages offered, I have used [] for these, are extrapolations based on a comparison of those sources. I have determined the reading of **f**³⁵ on the basis of well over thirty minuscule manuscripts (usually over forty, or even fifty) for each book, all of which I collated myself. § Since the MSS come from all over the Mediterranean world (including most monasteries on the Mt. Athos peninsula), the chances that they do not represent the main line of transmission are, quite frankly, nil. So here you have the archetypal Text of **f**³⁵, beyond reasonable question.

I have included six published editions in the apparatus—RP, HF, OC, TR, CP, NU.* So how do I justify this new text and apparatus? Well, just the critical apparatus justifies the edition, being by far the most informative with reference to the large Byzantine majority and to **f**³⁵, which is

§ : I hold a copy of a perfect representative of the **f**³⁵ archetype for 22 of the 27 NT books, and my best copy is perfect for 17 of them. Quite apart from these, most of the scribes who copied **f**³⁵ exemplars did very careful work. The care with which **f**³⁵ exemplars were copied contrasts sharply with that afforded to exemplars of all other lines of transmission.

* : RP = Robinson-Pierpont (2005), HF = Hodges-Farstad, OC = the text of the Orthodox Churches, TR = *Textus Receptus*, CP = Complutensian Polyglot, NU = N-A²⁶/UBS³ (N-A²⁷/UBS⁴ offer changes in the critical apparatus, *not* in the text; the text is still that of N-A²⁶/UBS³).

both ancient and independent of the rest of the tradition. Also, my Text is sufficiently distinct from the rest to justify its existence (over a thousand differences from either HF or RP). For those who believe in an inerrant Text, as I do, each word is important (and even the spelling may prove to be significant).[†]

Where all six printed editions are in agreement, I arbitrarily limit inclusion in the apparatus to variants with at least [10%] attestation. Also, I have arbitrarily included the movable-*nu* in the Text, as well as the full form of οὐτως, at all points.[‡]

As an arbitrary decision, I have limited the citation of individual MSS to those dated to the 5th century or earlier. I use *rell* to indicate that the reading is supported by all other witnesses (continuous text MSS, although until all of them have been collated this is obviously inexact), and printed editions (of the six included in the apparatus), compared to the other reading.[§]

Quotation marks have been added throughout to facilitate a correct understanding of the text. Because standard English quotation marks too closely resemble rough and smooth breathing

† : I venture to affirm to the reader that all original wording of the NT is preserved in this edition, if not in the Text, at least in the apparatus. “Diminish not a word” Jeremiah 26:2 (see Deuteronomy 4:2 and Luke 4:4, “every word” [as in 99.6% of the MSS]). ‡ : Anyone who wishes to apply a numeric code to this Text should keep that in mind. § : My apparatus does not include lectionaries, patristic citations or ancient versions. I can explain why to anyone who is interested.

marks, double angle quotation marks «» are used. Nested quotations are indicated by single angle quotations marks <>, and nested quotations within nested quotations are indicated by double angle quotations marks «». When a quotation continues with a new paragraph, it is indicated with right-pointing double angle quotations marks ».

It is the aim of the editors of this volume to produce a text which exactly represents Family 35 with an apparatus that faithfully reproduces the evidence for the variants from the manuscripts and published editions cited in the footnotes. If you come across any errors in the text or apparatus, please contact:

Wilbur Pickering

wilbur.pickering@gmail.com

or

Robert A. Boyd

boydr1@gmail.com

*Editions of the Greek New Testament cited in
the Apparatus*

CP	Complutensian Polyglot
f ³⁵	Wilbur N. Pickering. <i>The New Testament According to the Text of the Codex Bezae Cantabrigiae</i>
HF	Zane C. Hodges and Arthur L. Farstad, eds. <i>The Greek New Testament</i>
NU	Nestle-Aland 26 th edition and United Bible Societies
Nestle ²⁴	Nestle 24 th edition
Nestle ²⁵	Nestle 25 th edition
UBS ²	UBS 2 nd edition
OC	Orthodox Church
RP	<i>The New Testament in the Original Greek: Byzantine Text</i>
TR	Textus Receptus

Signs and Abbreviations Used in the Apparatus

ϕ ¹	Capital letter ϕ indicates a papyrus. The superscript
M ¹	Capital letter M followed by a superscript number
M ^a	Capital letter M followed by a superscript letter inc
p ^t	The superscript letters p ^t indicates part of the fam.
½	A superscript fraction ½ indicates a fraction of the
()	Witnesses which show only minor differences are
[NU]	Brackets around NU indicate that the NU editors an
[?]	Brackets around a question mark indicate indicate
[!?	Brackets around an exclamation point and a quest
[[NU]]	Double brackets around NU indicate that the NU ec
«OC»	Double angle quotation marks around OC indicate
	A broken bar separates the instances of variation f
—	Three hyphens indicate that the variant omits the f
...	An ellipsis indicates that the variant form cited is i
~	A tilde indicates that the words in the text are tran
2 3 1 4	Variants of word order are represented by numer
^c	The superscript letter ^c indicates a correction mad
^v	The superscript letter ^v indicates that the reading a
<i>rell</i>	The abbreviation <i>rell</i> indicates that the rest of the
+	A plus sign indicates additional text in witnesses th
-	A minus sign indicates text missing from witnesses
→	An arrow sign indicates a probable correction mad

Η Καινή Διαθήκη
The Greek New Testament According to Family 35,
Wilbur Pickering

Copyright © 2020 Wilbur N. Pickering

Language: Ελληνικά (Greek, Ancient)

Dialect: Koine

Contributor: Dwayne Green, Robert A. Boyd

This translation is made available to you under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivatives license 4.0.

You may share and redistribute this Bible translation or extracts from it in any format, provided that:

You include the above copyright and source information.

You do not sell this work for a profit.

You do not change any of the words or punctuation of the Scriptures. Pictures included with Scriptures and other documents on this site are licensed just for use with those Scriptures and documents. For other uses, please contact the respective copyright owners.

2024-02-21

PDF generated using Haiola and XeLaTeX on 29 Apr 2024 from source files dated 21 Feb 2024

cf64fb6f-e463-5ebb-820f-5a4a7bb37b73